

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/749,016	12/29/2003	Will G. Durant	PAC-1	8418
1054 7:	590 09/23/2004		EXAM	INER
LEONARD TACHNER, A PROFESSIONAL LAW			DOUGLAS, STEVEN O	
CORPORATION 17961 SKY PARK CIRCLE, SUITE 38-E IRVINE, CA 92614		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		L	3751	
			DATE MAILED: 09/23/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/749,016	DURANT, WILL G.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Steven O. Douglas	3751				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tute, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. 8 133)				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29	December 2003.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withden 5) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 4,5 and 7-10 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 6 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicati ority documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 10/749,016

Art Unit: 3751

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 4,5 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Carter (US Pat. 3,542,091).

The Carter reference discloses a bag filling apparatus comprising an evacuatable housing 2, a bag chair 5, a spout 9 and a suspended-type weighing device 23.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carter in view of Martin et al. (US Pat. 4,974,646).

The Carter reference discloses a filling device (supra), but does not disclose the bag chair and weighing device being a cantilevered-type relationship. The Martin et al. reference discloses another filling device having a container support and weighing device being in "cantilevered" relation (see elements 16 and 18 in Figs. 2 and 3). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute a cantilevered-type weighing

Art Unit: 3751

arrangement as, for example, shown by the Martin reference for the suspended-type arrangement of Carter, wherein so doing would amount to the mere substitution of one type weighing arrangement for another that would work equally well in the Carter device.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The Bolz, Mechalas and Kujubu references pertain to other bag fillers with associated evacuation housings.

Claims 1-3 are allowed.

Claim 6 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven O. Douglas whose telephone number is 703-308-0891. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 6:00-6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Huson can be reached on 703-308-2580. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/749,016

Art Unit: 3751

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-figee).

Steven Ö. Douglas' Primary Examiner Art Unit 3751 Page 4

SD 9-20-04